



TITLE:

# The geometry of hypersurfaces and lines in projective spaces and Hodge structure

AUTHOR(S):

池田, 京司

---

CITATION:

池田, 京司. The geometry of hypersurfaces and lines in projective spaces and Hodge structure. 代数幾何学シンポジウム記録 2006, 2006: 86-94

ISSUE DATE:

2006

URL:

<http://hdl.handle.net/2433/214821>

RIGHT:

## 射影空間の超曲面と直線の幾何と Hodge 構造

The geometry of hypersurfaces and lines in projective spaces and Hodge structure

池田 京司

Atsushi Ikeda

### 1 Abstract

We denote by  $\mathbf{G}$  the set of all lines in a complex projective space  $\mathbf{P}^n$ . For a hypersurface  $X$  in  $\mathbf{P}^n$ , the set

$$Y_m = \{(p, L) \in \mathbf{P}^n \times \mathbf{G} \mid L \text{ and } X \text{ intersect at a point } p \text{ with the multiplicity } \geq m\}$$

form a projective variety, whose defining equations are given by using the higher derivative of the defining equation of  $X$  (Proposition 2.1). The projective variety  $Y_m$  is smooth for a general hypersurface  $X$  (Theorem 2.2). A purpose of the research is to characterize some geometric properties of  $X$  by using the Hodge structure of  $Y_m$ . In this report, we give a method to describe the Hodge cohomology of  $Y_m$  by Jacobian rings, which is a new generalization of the theory of Jacobian ring for a hypersurface in  $\mathbf{P}^n$  by Griffiths [2].

### 2 Varieties of intersection

We denote by  $\mathbf{P} = \text{Grass}(V, n)$  the Grassmannian variety of all  $n$ -dimensional subspaces in  $V = H^0(\mathbf{P}^n, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^n}(1))$ , and denote by  $\mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{P}}$  (resp.  $\mathcal{Q}_{\mathbf{P}}$ ) the universal sub (resp. quotient) bundle on  $\mathbf{P}$ . We have an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{P}} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}} \otimes V \longrightarrow \mathcal{Q}_{\mathbf{P}} \longrightarrow 0.$$

Then  $\mathbf{P}$  is naturally identified with  $\mathbf{P}^n$ , and  $\mathcal{Q}_{\mathbf{P}}$  is identified with  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^n}(1)$ . We denote by  $\mathbf{G} = \text{Grass}(V, n-1)$  the Grassmannian variety of all  $(n-1)$ -dimensional subspaces in  $V$ , and denote by  $\mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{G}}$  (resp.  $\mathcal{Q}_{\mathbf{G}}$ ) the universal sub (resp. quotient) bundle on  $\mathbf{G}$ . We have an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{G}} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{G}} \otimes V \longrightarrow \mathcal{Q}_{\mathbf{G}} \longrightarrow 0.$$

We remark that a point in  $\mathbf{G}$  corresponds to a line in  $\mathbf{P}^n$ . We denote by  $\Gamma$  the subvariety of  $\mathbf{P} \times \mathbf{G}$  defined as the zeros of the composition

$$p_2^* S_{\mathbf{G}} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P} \times \mathbf{G}} \otimes V \longrightarrow p_1^* \mathcal{Q}_{\mathbf{P}},$$

where  $p_1 : \mathbf{P} \times \mathbf{G} \rightarrow \mathbf{P}$  (resp.  $p_2 : \mathbf{P} \times \mathbf{G} \rightarrow \mathbf{G}$ ) denotes the first (resp. second) projection. Then  $\Gamma$  is the flag variety of all pairs of a line in  $\mathbf{P}^n$  and a point on the line. By the first projection  $\phi = p_1|_{\Gamma}$ , the subvariety  $\Gamma$  is considered as the Grassmannian bundle ( $\mathbf{P}^{n-1}$ -bundle)

$$\phi : \Gamma = \text{Grass}(\mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{P}}, n-1) \longrightarrow \mathbf{P}.$$

By the second projection  $\pi = p_2|_{\Gamma}$ , the subvariety  $\Gamma$  is considered as the Grassmannian bundle ( $\mathbf{P}^1$ -bundle)

$$\pi : \Gamma = \text{Grass}(\mathcal{Q}_{\mathbf{G}}, 1) \longrightarrow \mathbf{G}.$$

We denote by  $\mathcal{Q}_{\phi}$  the universal quotient bundle of the Grassmannian bundle  $\phi$ . We have exact sequences

$$0 \longrightarrow \pi^* S_{\mathbf{G}} \longrightarrow \phi^* S_{\mathbf{P}} \longrightarrow \mathcal{Q}_{\phi} \longrightarrow 0$$

and

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{Q}_{\phi} \longrightarrow \pi^* \mathcal{Q}_{\mathbf{G}} \longrightarrow \phi^* \mathcal{Q}_{\mathbf{P}} \longrightarrow 0.$$

We introduce descending filtration

$$\text{Sym}^d \pi^* \mathcal{Q}_{\mathbf{G}} = \text{Fil}^0 \text{Sym}^d \pi^* \mathcal{Q}_{\mathbf{G}} \supset \dots \supset \text{Fil}^{d+1} \text{Sym}^d \pi^* \mathcal{Q}_{\mathbf{G}} = 0$$

on the  $d$ -th symmetric product of  $\pi^* \mathcal{Q}_{\mathbf{G}}$ , where the subspace  $\text{Fil}^m \text{Sym}^d \pi^* \mathcal{Q}_{\mathbf{G}}$  is defined as the image of the natural homomorphism

$$\text{Sym}^m \mathcal{Q}_{\phi} \otimes \text{Sym}^{d-m} \pi^* \mathcal{Q}_{\mathbf{G}} \longrightarrow \text{Sym}^d \pi^* \mathcal{Q}_{\mathbf{G}}.$$

For  $F \in \text{Sym}^d V$ , we denote by  $X_F$  the hypersurface in  $\mathbf{P}$  defined as the zeros of the image of  $F$  by the natural isomorphism

$$\text{Sym}^d V \simeq H^0(\mathbf{P}, \text{Sym}^d \mathcal{Q}_{\mathbf{P}}),$$

we denote by  $Y_{F,m}$  the subvariety in  $\Gamma$  defined as the zeros of the image of  $F$  by the natural homomorphism

$$\text{Sym}^d V \simeq H^0(\Gamma, \text{Sym}^d \pi^* \mathcal{Q}_{\mathbf{G}}) \longrightarrow H^0(\Gamma, \text{Sym}^d \pi^* \mathcal{Q}_{\mathbf{G}} / \text{Fil}^m \text{Sym}^d \pi^* \mathcal{Q}_{\mathbf{G}}),$$

and we denote by  $Z_F$  the subvariety in  $\mathbf{G}$  defined as the zeros of the image of  $F$  by the natural isomorphism

$$\text{Sym}^d V \simeq H^0(\mathbf{G}, \text{Sym}^d \mathcal{Q}_{\mathbf{G}}).$$

Then a point in  $Z_F$  corresponds to a line which is contained in  $X_F$ . Let  $L$  be a line in  $\mathbf{P}^n$ , and let  $p$  be a point on  $L$ . The fiber of the line bundle  $\mathcal{Q}_\phi$  at the point on  $\Gamma$  corresponding to the pair  $(p, L)$  is naturally identified with the kernel of the restriction

$$H^0(L, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^n}(1)|_L) \longrightarrow H^0(p, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^n}(1)|_p).$$

Hence,  $L$  and  $X_F$  intersect at  $p$  with the multiplicity  $\geq m$  if and only if the pair  $(p, L)$  represent a point in  $Y_{F,m}$ . We have a diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & \mathbf{P} & \xleftarrow{\phi} & \Gamma & \xrightarrow{\pi} & \mathbf{G} \\ & \cup & & \cup & & \cup \\ X_F = & \phi(Y_{F,1}) & \longleftarrow & Y_{F,1} & \longrightarrow & \pi(Y_{F,1}) \\ & \cup & & \cup & & \cup \\ & \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots \\ & \cup & & \cup & & \cup \\ & \phi(Y_{F,d}) & \longleftarrow & Y_{F,d} & \longrightarrow & \pi(Y_{F,d}) \\ & \cup & & \cup & & \cup \\ & \phi(Y_{F,d+1}) & \longleftarrow & Y_{F,d+1} & \longrightarrow & \pi(Y_{F,d+1}) = Z_F. \end{array}$$

The morphism  $\pi|_{Y_{F,m}} : Y_{F,m} \rightarrow \pi(Y_{F,m})$  is finite for  $1 \leq m \leq d$ , and the morphism  $\pi|_{Y_{F,d+1}} : Y_{F,d+1} \rightarrow Z_F$  is a  $\mathbf{P}^1$ -bundle.

We remark that the isomorphism

$$\mathrm{Sym}^d \pi^* \mathcal{Q}_G / \mathrm{Fil}^m \mathrm{Sym}^d \pi^* \mathcal{Q}_G \simeq \mathrm{Sym}^{d-m+1} \phi^* \mathcal{Q}_P \otimes \mathrm{Sym}^{m-1} \pi^* \mathcal{Q}_G$$

is induced by the homomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} H^0(L, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^n}(d)|_L) &\longrightarrow H^0(p, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^n}(d-m+1)|_p) \otimes H^0(L, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^n}(m-1)|_L); \\ A_1 \cdots A_d &\longmapsto \frac{1}{d!} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_d} (A_{\sigma(1)} \cdots A_{\sigma(d-m+1)})|_p \otimes A_{\sigma(d-m+2)} \cdots A_{\sigma(d)} \end{aligned}$$

where  $A_i \in H^0(L, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^n}(1)|_L)$ , and  $\mathfrak{S}_d$  denotes the permutation group of the index set  $\{1, \dots, d\}$ .

Let  $x_0, \dots, x_n$  be a basis of  $V$ .

**Proposition 2.1.** *The subvariety  $Y_{F,m}$  in  $\Gamma$  is defined as the zeros of the section*

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{0 \leq i_1, \dots, i_{m-1} \leq n} \frac{\partial^{m-1} F}{\partial x_{i_1} \cdots \partial x_{i_{m-1}}} \otimes x_{i_1} \cdots x_{i_{m-1}} \\ &\in \mathrm{Sym}^{d-m+1} V \otimes \mathrm{Sym}^{m-1} V \simeq H^0(\Gamma, \mathrm{Sym}^{d-m+1} \phi^* \mathcal{Q}_P \otimes \mathrm{Sym}^{m-1} \pi^* \mathcal{Q}_G). \end{aligned}$$

The following theorem is proved by the similar way as [1], in which the corresponding results for the variety  $Z_F$  is proved.

**Theorem 2.2.** Assume  $1 \leq m \leq d + 1$ .

1. If  $m \leq n - 1$ , then  $Y_{F,m}$  is connected for any  $F \in \text{Sym}^d V$ .
2. If  $m \leq 2n - 1$ , then  $Y_{F,m}$  is smooth of dimension  $2n - m - 1$  for general  $F \in \text{Sym}^d V$ .

If  $Y_{F,m}$  is smooth of dimension  $2n - m - 1$ , then we can compute some topological invariants of  $Y_{F,m}$ . For example, if  $m = d = 2n - 1$ , then  $\dim Y_{F,m} = 0$ , and we can compute the number of the point of  $Y_{F,m}$  by Schubert calculus;

$$\begin{cases} m = d = 2n - 1 = 1 & \implies \#Y_{F,m} = 1, \\ m = d = 2n - 1 = 3 & \implies \#Y_{F,m} = 9, \\ m = d = 2n - 1 = 5 & \implies \#Y_{F,m} = 575, \\ m = d = 2n - 1 = 7 & \implies \#Y_{F,m} = 99715, \\ & \dots \end{cases}$$

for general  $F$ . It is similar to the case when  $\dim Z_F = 0$ ;

$$\begin{cases} d = 2n - 3 = 1 & \implies \#Z_F = 1, \\ d = 2n - 3 = 3 & \implies \#Z_F = 9 \times 3 = 27, \\ d = 2n - 3 = 5 & \implies \#Z_F = 575 \times 5 = 2785, \\ d = 2n - 3 = 7 & \implies \#Z_F = 99715 \times 7 = 698005, \\ & \dots \end{cases}$$

for general  $F$ . When  $\dim Y_{F,m} = 1$  and  $Y_{F,m}$  is connected, we can compute the genus of  $Y_{F,m}$  for general  $F$ .

### 3 Jacobian rings

We denote by

$$S = \mathbb{C}[x_0, \dots, x_n, z_0, \dots, z_n] = \bigoplus_{p,q \in \mathbb{Z}} S^{p,q}$$

the polynomial ring bi-graded by  $\deg x_i = (1, 0)$  and  $\deg z_j = (0, 1)$ . We define homomorphisms  $\delta$  and  $\varepsilon$  by

$$\delta : S^{p,q} \longrightarrow S^{p+1,q-1}; \quad A \mapsto \sum_{i=0}^n \frac{\partial A}{\partial z_i} \cdot x_i$$

and

$$\varepsilon : S^{p,q} \longrightarrow S^{p-1,q+1}; \quad A \mapsto \sum_{i=0}^n \frac{\partial A}{\partial x_i} \cdot z_i.$$

For  $F \in \text{Sym}^d V$ , we have a bi-homogeneous polynomial  $F_0 \in S^{d,0}$  by considering  $x_0, \dots, x_n$  as a basis of  $V$ . We set the bi-homogeneous polynomial  $F_k$  by

$$F_k = \varepsilon^k(F_0) \in S^{d-k,k}$$

for  $k \geq 1$ . We define the bi-graded ring  $S_{F,m}$  by

$$S_{F,m} = S/(F_k; 0 \leq k \leq m-1),$$

and we define the bi-graded ring  $R_{F,m}$  by

$$R_{F,m} = S_{F,m-1} / \left( \frac{\partial F_{m-1}}{\partial x_i} \cdot x_j + (m-1) \frac{\partial F_{m-2}}{\partial x_i} \cdot z_j; 0 \leq i \leq n, 0 \leq j \leq n \right)$$

for  $m \geq 1$ , where we set  $S_{F,0} = S$ . Since

$$\frac{1}{d} \sum_{i=0}^n \left( \frac{\partial F_{m-1}}{\partial x_i} \cdot x_i + (m-1) \frac{\partial F_{m-2}}{\partial x_i} \cdot z_i \right) = F_{m-1},$$

the Jacobian ring  $R_{F,m}$  is a quotient ring of  $S_{F,m}$ .

In the following, we describe the relation between the rings  $S_{F,m}$  and  $R_{F,m}$  and the variety  $Y_{F,m}$ . Since the normal bundle  $\mathcal{N}_{Y_{F,m}/\Gamma}$  of  $Y_{F,m}$  in  $\Gamma$  is isomorphic to  $(\phi^* \text{Sym}^{d-m+1} \mathcal{Q}_{\mathbf{P}} \otimes \pi^* \text{Sym}^{m-1} \mathcal{Q}_{\mathbf{G}})|_{Y_{F,m}}$ , using Lemma 4.5, we have the following proposition.

**Proposition 3.1.** *If  $Y_{F,m}$  is smooth of dimension  $2n - m - 1$ , then*

$$H^0(Y_{F,m}, \mathcal{N}_{Y_{F,m}/\Gamma}) \simeq S_{F,m}^{d-m+1, m-1}$$

for  $1 \leq m \leq n-1$ .

We denote by  $T_{\Gamma}$  (resp.  $T_{Y_{F,m}}$ ) the tangent bundle of  $\Gamma$  (resp.  $Y_{F,m}$ ). Then we have the exact sequences

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\Gamma} \longrightarrow \phi^* \mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{P}}^{\vee} \otimes \pi^* \mathcal{Q}_{\mathbf{G}} \longrightarrow T_{\Gamma} \longrightarrow 0$$

and

$$0 \longrightarrow T_{Y_{F,m}} \longrightarrow T_{\Gamma}|_{Y_{F,m}} \longrightarrow \mathcal{N}_{Y_{F,m}/\Gamma} \longrightarrow 0,$$

where  $\mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{P}}^{\vee}$  denotes the dual of  $\mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{P}}$ . We remark that the composition

$$V^{\vee} \otimes \pi^* \mathcal{Q}_{\mathbf{G}} \longrightarrow \phi^* \mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{P}}^{\vee} \otimes \pi^* \mathcal{Q}_{\mathbf{G}} \longrightarrow T_{\Gamma} \longrightarrow \mathcal{N}_{Y_{F,m}/\Gamma}$$

induces the homomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} V^{\vee} \otimes V &\simeq V^{\vee} \otimes H^0(\Gamma, \pi^* \mathcal{Q}_{\mathbf{G}}) \longrightarrow H^0(Y_{F,m}, \mathcal{N}_{Y_{F,m}/\Gamma}) \simeq S_{F,m}^{d-m+1, m-1}; \\ x_i^* \otimes x_j &\longmapsto -\frac{(d-m+1)!}{d!} \left( \frac{\partial F_{m-1}}{\partial x_i} \cdot x_j + (m-1) \frac{\partial F_{m-2}}{\partial x_i} \cdot z_j \right), \end{aligned}$$

where  $x_0^*, \dots, x_n^*$  denotes the dual basis of  $x_0, \dots, x_n$ . Using Lemma 4.6, we have the following theorem.

**Theorem 3.2.** *If  $Y_{F,m}$  is smooth of dimension  $2n - m - 1$ , then there is a natural injective homomorphism*

$$\rho : R_{F,m}^{d-m+1,m-1} \longrightarrow H^1(Y_{F,m}, T_{Y_{F,m}}),$$

and it is an isomorphism for  $m \leq n - 3$ .

We set the integers  $\alpha(n, m, d, q)$  and  $\beta(n, m, d, q)$  by

$$\begin{cases} \alpha(n, m, d, q) = md - \frac{m(m-1)}{2} - n - 2 + q(d - m + 1), \\ \beta(n, m, d, q) = \frac{m(m-1)}{2} - n + q(m - 1). \end{cases}$$

Since the canonical bundle  $\Omega_{Y_{F,m}}^{2n-m-1}$  of  $Y_{F,m}$  is isomorphic to  $(\phi^* \text{Sym}^{\alpha(n,m,d,0)} \mathcal{Q}_{\mathbf{P}} \otimes \text{Sym}^{\beta(n,m,d,0)} \mathcal{Q}_{\phi})|_{Y_{F,m}}$ , using Lemma 4.5, we have the following theorem.

**Theorem 3.3.** *If  $Y_{F,m}$  is smooth of dimension  $2n - m - 1$ , then there is a natural injective homomorphism*

$$\gamma_0 : \text{Ker}(S_{F,m}^{\alpha(n,m,d,0),\beta(n,m,d,0)} \xrightarrow{\delta} S_{F,m}^{\alpha(n,m,d,0)+1,\beta(n,m,d,0)-1}) \longrightarrow H^0(Y_{F,m}, \Omega_{Y_{F,m}}^{2n-m-1}),$$

and it is an isomorphism for  $m \leq n - 2$  or  $m = n - 1 \leq 5$ .

Here we remark that

$$S_{F,m}^{\alpha(n,m,d,0),\beta(n,m,d,0)} = \text{Ker}(S_{F,m}^{\alpha(n,m,d,0),\beta(n,m,d,0)} \xrightarrow{\delta} S_{F,m}^{\alpha(n,m,d,0)+1,\beta(n,m,d,0)-1})$$

for  $\frac{m(m-1)}{2} \leq n$ .

The following theorem is proved by the similar way as Theorem 3.2, by using the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \Omega_{Y_{F,m}}^{2n-m-2} \longrightarrow T_{\Gamma}|_{Y_{F,m}} \otimes \Omega_{Y_{F,m}}^{2n-m-1} \longrightarrow \mathcal{N}_{Y_{F,m}/\Gamma} \otimes \Omega_{Y_{F,m}}^{2n-m-1} \longrightarrow 0.$$

**Theorem 3.4.** *If  $\frac{m(m-1)}{2} \leq n$ , and  $Y_{F,m}$  is smooth of dimension  $2n - m - 1$ , then there is a natural injective homomorphism*

$$\gamma_1 : R_{F,m}^{\alpha(n,m,d,1),\beta(n,m,d,1)} \longrightarrow H^1(Y_{F,m}, \Omega_{Y_{F,m}}^{2n-m-2}),$$

and it is an isomorphism for  $m \leq n - 3$ .

**Theorem 3.5.** *If  $\frac{m(m-1)}{2} \leq n$ , and  $Y_{F,m}$  is smooth of dimension  $2n - m - 1$ , then the diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} R_{F,m}^{d-m+1,m-1} \otimes S_{F,m}^{\alpha(n,m,d,0),\beta(n,m,d,0)} & \xrightarrow{\mu} & R_{F,m}^{\alpha(n,m,d,1),\beta(n,m,d,1)} \\ \downarrow \rho \otimes \gamma_0 & & \downarrow \gamma_1 \\ H^1(Y_{F,m}, T_{Y_{F,m}}) \otimes H^0(Y_{F,m}, \Omega_{Y_{F,m}}^{2n-m-1}) & \xrightarrow{\nu} & H^1(Y_{F,m}, \Omega_{Y_{F,m}}^{2n-m-2}) \end{array}$$

commutes, where the homomorphism  $\mu$  is defined by the multiplication of the ring  $R_{F,m}$ , and the homomorphism  $\nu$  is defined by the composition of the cup product and the contraction.

## 4 Calculation of cohomology

In this section, we enumerate several lemmas, which is used in the proof of theorems in Section 3. For simplicity of notations, we set the invertible sheaf  $\mathcal{O}_\Gamma(p, q)$  on  $\Gamma$  by

$$\mathcal{O}_\Gamma(p, q) = \begin{cases} \text{Sym}^p \phi^* \mathcal{Q}_\mathbf{P} \otimes \text{Sym}^q \mathcal{Q}_\phi & (p \geq 0, q \geq 0), \\ \text{Sym}^p \phi^* \mathcal{Q}_\mathbf{P} \otimes \text{Sym}^{-q} \mathcal{Q}_\phi^\vee & (p \geq 0, q < 0), \\ \text{Sym}^{-p} \phi^* \mathcal{Q}_\mathbf{P}^\vee \otimes \text{Sym}^q \mathcal{Q}_\phi & (p < 0, q \geq 0), \\ \text{Sym}^{-p} \phi^* \mathcal{Q}_\mathbf{P}^\vee \otimes \text{Sym}^{-q} \mathcal{Q}_\phi^\vee & (p < 0, q < 0), \end{cases}$$

and we set  $Q_\mathbf{G}^r = \pi^* \text{Sym}^r \mathcal{Q}_\mathbf{G}$  for  $r \geq 0$ . For a sheaf  $\mathcal{E}$  of  $\mathcal{O}_\Gamma$ -modules, we set  $\mathcal{E}(p, q) = \mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{O}_\Gamma(p, q)$ .

**Lemma 4.1.** Assume  $r \geq 0$ .  $H^0(\Gamma, Q_\mathbf{G}^r(p, q)) = \text{Ker}(\delta^{r+1} : S^{p, q+r} \rightarrow S^{p+r+1, q-1})$ .

**Lemma 4.2.** Assume  $q \leq 0$  and  $r \geq 0$ .

1.  $H^j(\Gamma, Q_\mathbf{G}^r(p, q)) = 0$  for  $1 \leq j \leq n-2$ .
2. When  $n \geq 2$ , if  $q \geq -n+1$  or  $p+r \leq -2$ , then  $H^{n-1}(\Gamma, Q_\mathbf{G}^r(p, q)) = 0$ .

**Lemma 4.3.** Assume  $q \leq 0$ .

1.  $H^j(\Gamma, T_\Gamma(p, q)) = 0$  for  $1 \leq j \leq n-3$ .
2. When  $n \geq 3$ , if  $q \geq -n+1$  or  $p \leq -2$ , then  $H^{n-2}(\Gamma, T_\Gamma(p, q)) = 0$ .

**Lemma 4.4.** Assume  $q \leq 0$  and  $r \geq 0$ .

1.  $H^1(Y_{F,m}, Q_\mathbf{G}^r(p, q)|_{Y_{F,m}}) = 0$  for  $1 \leq m \leq n-3$ .
2. If  $q \geq \frac{n(n-7)}{2} + 4$  or  $p+r \leq (n-2)d - \frac{n(n-5)}{2} - 5$ , then

$$H^1(Y_{F,n-2}, Q_\mathbf{G}^r(p, q)|_{Y_{F,n-2}}) = 0.$$

**Lemma 4.5.** Assume  $r \geq 0$ .

1.

$$H^0(Y_{F,m}, Q_\mathbf{G}^r(p, q)|_{Y_{F,m}}) \simeq \text{Ker}(\delta^{r+1} : S_{F,m}^{p, q+r} \rightarrow S_{F,m}^{p+r+1, q-1})$$

for  $1 \leq m \leq n-2$ .

2. If  $\min\{q, 0\} \geq \frac{n(n-5)}{2} + 2$  or  $p+r + \max\{q, 0\} \leq (n-1)d - \frac{n(n-3)}{2} - 3$ , then

$$H^0(Y_{F,n-1}, Q_\mathbf{G}^r(p, q)|_{Y_{F,n-1}}) \simeq \text{Ker}(\delta^{r+1} : S_{F,n-1}^{p, q+r} \rightarrow S_{F,n-1}^{p+r+1, q-1}).$$



**Lemma 4.6.** *Assume  $q \leq 0$ .*

1.  $H^1(Y_{F,m}, T_\Gamma(p, q)|_{Y_{F,m}}) = 0$  for  $1 \leq m \leq n-4$ .
2. If  $q \geq \frac{n(n-9)}{2} + 7$  or  $p \leq (n-3)d - \frac{n(n-7)}{2} - 8$ , then

$$H^1(Y_{F,n-3}, T_\Gamma(p, q)|_{Y_{F,n-3}}) = 0.$$

## 5 The case $n = 3$ and $m = 3$

In this section, we consider a hypersurface  $X_F$  in  $\mathbf{P}^3$ .

**Proposition 5.1.** *If the variety  $Y_{F,3}$  is smooth of dimension 2, then the morphism  $\phi|_{Y_{F,3}} : Y_{F,3} \rightarrow X_F$  is the double covering branched along  $B_F$ , where  $B_F$  is the divisor on  $X_F$  defined by the equation*

$$\det \left( \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} \right)_{0 \leq i, j \leq 3} = 0.$$

By the results in Section 3, we have natural injective homomorphisms

$$\rho : R_{F,3}^{d-2,2} \longrightarrow H^1(Y_{F,3}, T_{Y_{F,3}}),$$

$$\gamma_0 : S_{F,3}^{3d-8,0} \longrightarrow H^0(Y_{F,3}, \Omega_{Y_{F,3}}^2)$$

and

$$\gamma_1 : R_{F,3}^{4d-10,2} \longrightarrow H^1(Y_{F,3}, \Omega_{Y_{F,3}}^1).$$

**Proposition 5.2.** *If  $d \geq 3$ , then the homomorphism*

$$R_{F,1}^{d,0} \xrightarrow{\epsilon^2} R_{F,3}^{d-2,2} \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{C}}(S_{F,3}^{3d-8,0}, R_{F,3}^{4d-10,2})$$

*is injective for general  $F \in S^{d,0}$ .*

We consider the period map

$$\psi : M \longrightarrow W; [X_F] \longmapsto [H^2(Y_{F,3})],$$

where  $M$  denotes the set of isomorphism classes of hypersurfaces  $X_F$  in  $\mathbf{P}^3$  such that  $Y_{F,3}$  is smooth, and  $W$  denotes the set of isomorphism classes of Hodge structures of weight 2. By Proposition 5.2 and Theorem 3.4, the differential  $d\psi$  of the period map  $\psi$  at a general point in  $M$  is injective, where we remark that the sets  $M$  and  $W$  have geometric structure. Now we have a natural question of Torelli type.

**Question 5.3.** For smooth surfaces  $X_{F_1}$  and  $X_{F_2}$  in  $\mathbf{P}^3$ , if there is an isomorphism  $H^2(Y_{F_1,3}) \simeq H^2(Y_{F_2,3})$  as Hodge structures, then is there an isomorphism  $X_{F_1} \simeq X_{F_2}$  as algebraic varieties?

## 5.1 The case $d = 3$

In the following, we assume that  $d = 3$ . If  $Y_{F,3}$  is smooth, then  $Y_{F,3}$  is a minimal algebraic surface with the geometric genus  $p_g = 4$ , the irregularity  $q = 0$  and the square of the first chern class  $c_1^2 = 6$ . Such algebraic surfaces are classified by Horikawa, and  $Y_{F,3}$  is called of type Ib in [3].

**Proposition 5.4.** *For  $F \in S^{3,0}$ , the cubic surface  $X_F$  is smooth if and only if  $Y_{F,3}$  is a smooth surface.*

If  $X_F$  is a smooth cubic surface, then  $X_F$  contains 27 lines, which means that  $\sharp Z_F = 27$ . Hence  $Y_{F,4}$  is a disjoint union of 27 rational curves, which are  $(-3)$ -curves in  $Y_{F,3}$ .

**Proposition 5.5.** *If  $X_F$  is a smooth cubic surface, then  $B_F$  has at most nodes as its singularities. A point  $p \in X_F$  is a node of  $B_F$  if and only if there are three lines in  $X_F$  which contains the point  $p$ .*

Since the morphism  $\phi|_{Y_{F,3}} : Y_{F,3} \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^3$  is the canonical map for  $d = 3$ , we have the following proposition.

**Proposition 5.6.** *For smooth cubic surfaces  $X_{F_1}$  and  $X_{F_2}$ , there is an isomorphism  $X_{F_1} \simeq X_{F_2}$  if and only if there is an isomorphism  $Y_{F_1,3} \simeq Y_{F_2,3}$ .*

In the case when  $d = 3$ , the Hodge structure  $H^2(X_F)$  is trivial, but the Hodge structure  $H^2(Y_{F,3})$  is not trivial. Hence the Question 5.3 is particularly interesting in this case.

## References

- [1] W. Barth and A. Van de Ven, *Fano-Varieties of lines on hypersurfaces*, Arch. Math. (Basel) **31** (1978), 96–104.
- [2] P. Griffiths, *On the periods of certain rational integrals. I, II*, Ann. of Math. (2) **90** (1969), 460–495, 496–541.
- [3] E. Horikawa, *Algebraic surface of general type with small  $c_1^2$ . III*, Invent. Math. **47** (1978), 209–248.

GRADUATE SCHOOL OF SCIENCE, OSAKA UNIVERSITY,  
TOYONAKA, OSAKA, 560-0043, JAPAN  
E-mail address: atsushi@math.sci.osaka-u.ac.jp